

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHELLE COX,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STATION CASINOS, LLC, et al.,

Defendant(s).

2:14-CV-638 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach. (Doc. # 28). No objections have been filed even though the deadline for filing objections has passed.

After reviewing all of the underlying briefs in the matter, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommended that defendant's motion to compel arbitration, (doc. # 16), be granted.

This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate

1 judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v.*
2 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the
3 district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); *see*
4 *also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's
5 decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any
6 issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's
7 recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g.,*
8 *Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation
9 to which no objection was filed).

10 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
11 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation
12 and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate judge's findings
13 in full.

14 Accordingly,

15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and
16 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach, (doc. # 28), are ADOPTED in their entirety.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to compel arbitration, (doc. # 16), is
18 GRANTED.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's prior motions to compel arbitration, (docs. #
20 8 & 13), and defendant's motions to dismiss, (docs. # 6, 12, & 15), are DENIED AS MOOT.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's amended complaint, (doc. # 9), is DISMISSED
22 without prejudice. The clerk is instructed to enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

23 DATED July 21, 2014.

24
25 
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28